



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/571,503

03/10/2006

Jean-Yves Bitterlich

112740-1133

4152

29177 7590 12/23/2008  
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLP  
P.O. BOX 1135  
CHICAGO, IL 60690

EXAMINER

PHANTANA ANGKOOL, DAVID

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2175

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/23/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/571,503	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BITTERLICH, JEAN-YVES	
	<b>Examiner</b> David Phantana-angkool	<b>Art Unit</b> 2175	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 October 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 4-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 4-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This application has been reassigned to Examiner David Phantana-angkool.
2. This action is responsive to RCE filed on October 1<sup>st</sup>, 2008.
3. Claims 4-12 are pending in the case. Claims 4, 7, and 10 are independent claims.
4. Applicants have amended the abstract to present a quick view and gist of the technical disclosure. Applicants' amendment has addressed the abstract objection, and therefore, in view of the newly submitted abstract, the objection to the specification is withdrawn.
5. Applicants amended claim 4 in response to the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection. Applicants' amendment has addressed the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection and therefore, in view of the newly submitted claims, the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection is now withdrawn.

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

6. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 1st, 2008 has been entered.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

7. **The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:**

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2175

8. **Claims 4-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fernandes, US# 6,014,135.**

**As for independent claim 4:**

Fernandes shows a *method for generating an object processing platform between an object computer and a processing computer, wherein an ad hoc screen assembly is performed by the object computer with the processing computer to couple a respective input and/or output device, comprising of:*

- *activating a local file processing function on the object computer (Fernandes show activating a an icon, by using a pointing device in 11: 40-43),*
- *generating an object processing platform by moving an object from a display belonging to the object computer to an interaction area of a display belonging to the processing computer (Fernandes shows routing the created or stored document by dragging the representative icon to the desired user icon/interaction area in 11: 35-55),*
- *wherein activating the local file processing function comprises moving the object from the object computer to the interaction area (Fernandes shows moving the object in 11:40-43).*

**As for dependent claim 5:**

Fernandes discloses *the method according to claim 4, further, comprising an application-specific processing of the object is started by a further coupling of the object to an application icon on the display belonging to the processing computer (10:30-40).*

**As for dependent claim 6:**

Fernandes shows *the method according to claim 5, wherein object-computer-specific data of the object is converted into application-specific data (10:40-45).*

**As for independent claim 7:**

Fernandes shows a system for generating an object processing platform between an *object computer and a processing computer, wherein an ad hoc screen assembly is performed by the object computer with the processing computer to couple a respective input and/or output device* (Fernandes show activating a an icon, by using a pointing device in 11: 40-43), *wherein the object computer is operable to activate a local file processing function, wherein the object processing platform is*

Art Unit: 2175

*generated by moving an object from a display belonging to the object computer to an interaction area of a display belonging to the processing computer, and wherein activating the local file processing function comprises moving the object from the object computer to the interaction area* (Fernandes shows routing the created or stored document by dragging the representative icon to the desired user icon/interaction area in 11: 35-55).

**As for dependent claims 8 and 9:**

Claims 8 and 9 contain similar substantial subject matter as claimed in claims 5 and 6, and are respectfully rejected along the same rationale.

**As for independent claim 10:**

Fernandes shows a system comprising:

*a combination of an object computer and a processing computer, wherein the combination is operable to perform an ad hoc screen assembly to couple a respective input and/or output device* (Fernandes show activating a an icon, by using a pointing device in 11: 40-43), *wherein the object computer is operable to activate a local file processing function, wherein an object processing platform is generated by moving an object from a display belonging to the object computer to an interaction area of a display belonging to the processing computer, and wherein activating the local file processing function comprises moving the object from the object computer to the interaction area* (Fernandes shows routing the created or stored document by dragging the representative icon to the desired user icon/interaction area in 11: 35-55).

**As for dependent claims 11 and 12:**

Claims 11 and 12 contain similar substantial subject matter as claimed in claims 5 and 6, and are respectfully rejected along the same rationale.

***Response to Arguments***

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2175

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Phantana-angkool whose telephone number is 571-272-2673. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Bashore can be reached on 571-272-4088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DP  
/David Phantana-angkool/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2175

/WILLIAM L. BASHORE/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2175